



# CS145 Discussion Week 5

Junheng, Shengming, Yunsheng 11/2/2018







- Announcement
- K-NN
- Similarity Metrics
- ROC
- K-Means
- Homework 3
- Course Project Midterm Report



#### Announcement



#### • Homework 3 out

- Deadline: 11/09 Friday 11:59 pm
- KNN(30%) and Neural Network(70%)
- Environment Requirement: Jupyter + Python 3
- Course Project Midterm Report (about to) out
  - Deadline: 11/12 Monday 11:59 pm
  - According to the guildline file
- Midterm date out
  - 11/14 Wednesday 12:00-1:50 pm(in class)
  - Remember to carry: one-page reference paper(letter size), simple calculator





# KNN







• Demo: <u>http://vision.stanford.edu/teaching/cs231n-demos/knn/</u>







Num classes



Num Neighbors (K)







- Classify an unknown example with the most common class among K nearest examples
  - "Tell me who your neighbors are, and I'll tell you who you are"
- Example
  - K = 3
  - 2 sea bass, 1 salmon
  - Classify as sea bass







- Easy to implement for multiple classes
- Example for K = 5
  - 3 fish species: salmon, sea bass, eel
  - $\circ$  3 sea bass, 1 eel, 1 salmon  $\rightarrow$  classify as sea bass



UCLA



- In theory, if infinite number of samples available, the larger k, the better classification result you'll get.
- Caveat: all K neighbors have to be close
  - Possible when infinite # samples available
  - Impossible in practice since # samples if finite
- Should we "tune" K on training data?
  - Overfitting
- $K = 1 \rightarrow \text{sensitive to "noise" (e.g. see right)}$



**UCLA** 



- In theory, if infinite number of samples available, the larger k, the better classification result you'll get.
- Caveat: all K neighbors have to be close
  - Possible when infinite # samples available
  - Impossible in practice since # samples if finite
- Should we "tune" K on training data?
  - Overfitting
- $K = 1 \rightarrow \text{sensitive to "noise" (e.g. see right)}$













- Larger K gives smoother boundaries, better for generalization
  - Only if locality is preserved
  - $\circ$  K too large  $\rightarrow$  looking at samples too far away that are not from the same class
- Can choose K through cross-validation







K=1



Figures from Hastie, Tibshirani and Friedman (Elements of Statistical Learning)

K=15





- Many classification models would not work for this 2-class classification problem
  - Linear-R
  - Logistic-R
  - Decision-Tree
  - SVM
- Nearest neighbors will do reasonably well







• Voronoi diagram is useful for visualization





### **Decision Boundaries**



- Decision boundaries are formed by a subset of the Voronoi Diagram of the training data
- Each line segment is equidistant between two points of opposite class
- The more examples that are stored, the more fragmented and complex the decision boundaries can be.





• We use Euclidean Distance to find the nearest neighbor:

$$D(a,b) = \sqrt{\sum_{k} (a_k - b_k)^2}$$

- Euclidean distance treats each feature as equally important
- Sometimes, some features (or dimensions) may be much more discriminative than other features

## **UCLA** KNN Distance Selection: Extreme Example



- Feature 1 gives the correct class: 1 or 2
- Feature 2 gives irrelevant number from 100 to 200
- Dataset: [1, 150], [2, 110]
- Classify [1, 100]

$$D\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\100\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1\\150\end{bmatrix}\right) = \sqrt{(1-1)^2 + (100 - 150)^2} = 50$$

$$D\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\100\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}2\\110\end{bmatrix}\right) = \sqrt{(1-2)^2 + (100-110)^2} = 10.5$$

- Use Euclidean distance can result in wrong classification
- Dense Example can help solve this problem

# **UCLA** KNN Distance Selection: Extreme Example



- Decision boundary is in red, and is really wrong because:
  - Feature 1 is discriminative, but its scale is small
  - Feature gives no class information but its scale is large, which dominates distance calculation





- Normalize features that makes them be in the same scale
- Different normalization approaches may reflect the result
- Linear scale the feature in range [0,1]:

$$f_{new} = \frac{f_{\text{old}} - f_{\text{old}}^{\min}}{f_{\text{old}}^{\max} - f_{\text{old}}^{\min}}$$

• Linear scale to 0 mean variance 1(Z-score):

$$f_{new} = \frac{f_{old} - \mu}{\sigma}$$





• Result comparison non-normalized vs normalized





• Feature normalization does not help in high dimensional spaces if most features are irrelevant

$$D(a,b) = \sqrt{\sum_{k} (a_{k} - b_{k})^{2}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} (a_{i} - b_{i})^{2} + \sum_{j} (a_{j} - b_{j})^{2}}$$
  
Discriminative  
features  
Noisy  
features

• If the number of useful feature is smaller than the number of noisy features, Euclidean distance is dominated by noise.

## **UCLA** KNN: Example of Noise Domination Problem

+ + + 00

0 0000

)++0+ 0 0000+0 00000









• Scale each feature by its importance for classification

$$D(a,b) = \sqrt{\sum_{k} w_k (a_k - b_k)^2}$$

- Use prior/domain knowledge to set the weight w
- Use cross-validation to learn the weight w



- Suppose n examples with dimension d
- For each point to be classified:
  - O(d) to compute distance to one example
  - O(nd) to compute distances to all examples
  - O(nk) time to find k closest examples
  - Total time: O(nk + nd)
- Very expensive for a large number of queries





- Reduce the dimensionality of the data:
  - Find a projection from high dimensional space to a lower dimensional space so that the distance between samples are approximately the same
  - Use Principal Component Analysis(PCA)
- Apply smart data structure, e.v. k-d trees



## **KNN:** Summary



#### • Advantages:

- $\circ$  Can be applied to the data from any distribution
- The decision boundary is not necessarily to be linear
- Simple and Intuitive
- Good Classification with large number of samples
- Disadvantages:
  - Chossing k may be tricky
  - Test stage is computationally expensive
    - No training stage, time-consuming test stage
    - Usually we can afford long training step but fast testing speed
  - Need large number of examples for accuracy







•http://www.csd.uwo.ca/courses/CS9840a/Lectur e2\_knn.pdf

•<u>http://classes.engr.oregonstate.edu/eecs/spring2</u> 012/cs534/notes/knn.pdf

•<u>http://people.csail.mit.edu/dsontag/courses/ml12/</u> slides/lecture10.pdf





#### **Similarity Metrics**





- Dissimilarity
  - $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 9 \\ 11 & 21 \end{bmatrix}$
- 3 2-d input, x1,x2,x3
- The dissimilarity matrix is a 3\*3 lower-triangular matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d(2,1) & 0 & 0 \\ d(3,1) & d(3,2) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{(3-6)^2 + (5-9)^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{(3-11)^2 + (5-21)^2} & \sqrt{(11-6)^2 + (21-9)^2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



- Student 1: likes Jazz, eats pizza, roots for the cubs, wears socks
- Student 2: likes Rock, eats pizza, roots for the cubs, goes barefoot
- d(Student 1, Student 2):
  - $\circ$  m: # of matches  $\rightarrow$  2
  - $\circ \quad \text{p: total \# of variables} \to 4$
  - d(Student 1, Student 2) = (4-2)/4 = 0.5



### **Binary Attributes**



- Symmetric binary attributes:
  - Gender
- Asymmetric attributes:
  - Preference, Character, etc.
- Can be manually defined



## **Binary Attributes**



- Dissimilarity of Binary Attributes:
  - Define 0 and 1
  - o calculate q,s,r,t,p
- Distance measure for symmetric binary variables:

$$d(i, j) = \frac{r+s}{q+r+s+t}$$

 Distance measure for asymmetric binary variables:

$$d(i,j) = \frac{r+s}{q+r+s}$$

Jaccard coefficient (similarity measure for asymmetric binary variables):

$$sim_{Jaccard}(i, j) = \frac{q}{q+r+s}$$

	O	Object j			
	1	0	sum		
Object / 1	q	r	q+r		
object / 0	8	t	s+t		
sum	q + s	r+t	p		





Name	Gender	Fever	Cough	Test-1	Test-2	Test-3	Test-4
Jack	Μ	Y	Ν	Р	N	N	N
Mary	F	Y	Ν	Р	N	Р	N
Jim	Μ	Y	Р	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν

- i = Jack, j = Mary
- Define M,Y,P as 1; Define F,N as 0
- Assume symmetric attributes for all:
  - r = 0, s = 1, q = 2
- Assume symetric for Gender, asymetric for other attributes
  - For Gender: r = 1, s = 0, q = 0, t = 0
  - For others: r = 0, s = 1, q = 2









#### • Order is important

- Transfer rank into value
- Freshman, Sophomore, Junior, Senior
- 1,2,3,4



**Mixed Attributes** 





• d(3,1)?  
• 
$$\frac{1(1)+1(0.5)+1(0.45)}{3}$$







- For vector data
- d1: I like to go to the store
- d2: I like the cubs, go cubs go

$$\cos(d_1, d_2) = (d_1 \bullet d_2) / ||d_1|| ||d_2||$$

Document	I	like	to	go	the	store	cubs
d1	1	1	2	1	1	1	0
d2	1	1	0	2	1	0	2

• cos(d1, d2)?

 $1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 2$ 

 $\overline{\sqrt{1^2+1^2+2^2+1^2+1^2+1^2+0^2}} \cdot \sqrt{1^2+1^2+0^2+2^2+1^2+0^2+2^2}$ 

#### ROC



#### http://mlwiki.org/index.php/ROC\_Analysis







- Demo 1: http://stanford.edu/class/ee103/visualizations/kmeans/kmeans.html
- Demo 2: <u>https://www.naftaliharris.com/blog/visualizing-k-means-clustering/</u>





- Due Date: Next Friday
- Be prepared (Refer to the doc for hw3)
  - Install Jupyter with Python 3.x(3.6 is preferred)
  - Download the cifar-10 dataset via get\_datasets.sh
    - For windows user, there might be problems downloading the dataset
- Live demonstration for installation
- Hints on k-fold cross-validation and matrix-level operations involved in NN





 <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1xLeBU-</u> <u>n8nuMT6zhLyLL1NIGuu25SJU10WI9eybdgjXg/e</u> <u>dit?usp=sharing</u>